#### THIS OPINION WAS NOT WRITTEN FOR PUBLICATION

and is not binding precedent of the Board.

Trial Section Merits Panel BOX INTERFERENCE WASHINGTON DC 20231 Telephone: 703-308-9797

Telephone: 703-308-9797 Facsimile: 703-305-0942 Paper No. 55

# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

\_\_\_\_\_

# BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS AND INTERFERENCES

WILLIAM D. HUSE, LUTZ RIECHMAN, GREGORY WINTER, JOSEPH SORGE, and RICHARD A. LERNER (07/933,958 and 07/933,959),

Junior Party,

v.

GREGORY P. WINTER, ELIZABETH S. WARD, and DETLEF GUSSOW (08/332,046),

Senior Party.

Interference No. 104,271

\_\_\_\_\_

Before SCHAFER, LEE, and TORCZON, <u>Administrative Patent</u> <u>Judges</u>.

TORCZON, Administrative Patent Judge.

# JUDGMENT

(PURSUANT TO 37 CFR § 1.662(a))

# INTRODUCTION

Senior party Winter requests adverse judgment (Paper No. 51). Junior party Huse requests a statement in the

35 U.S.C. § 135(c) Notice: Failure to file a copy of any agreement regarding the termination of this proceeding may render the agreement and any resulting patents

judgment stating that the status of its non-corresponding claims is not affected by this judgment (Paper No. 52.)

#### DISCUSSION

A final decision awards judgment based on a count. 37 CFR § 1.658(a). A claim designated as corresponding to a count is involved in the interference. 37 CFR § 1.601(f). Conversely, a claim not designated as corresponding is not involved in the interference. Even if claims might have been properly designated as corresponding, they are not involved for the purposes of judgment until they are designated as corresponding. In the present interference, the question is moot for two reasons. First, there is no motion to designate claims as corresponding to the count. See 37 CFR § 1.633(c). Second, judgment against Winter renders the question of whether some of Huse's claims should have been involved academic. (Note that it would not be academic if Winter's claims were at issue.) Either way, Huse's claims--whether corresponding or not--survive this interference intact. Winter's claims not corresponding to the count also survive intact.

#### ORDER

Upon consideration of the record of this interference, it is

ORDERED that judgment on priority as to Count I is awarded against senior party Winter;

FURTHER ORDERED that senior party Winter is not entitled to a patent containing claims 33-37, 39-48, 50-52, and 57-66 of Winter's 046 application, which correspond to count 1;

FURTHER ORDERED that, based on the record before us, junior party Huse is entitled to a patent containing claims 16-21, 23-25, 28, 29, 31-35, 37-39, 42-51, 54-60, 62-70, and 72-80 of Huse's '958 application, which correspond to count 1;

FURTHER ORDERED that, based on the record before us, junior party Huse is entitled to a patent containing claims 7-11, 13-15, 17-22, 24-26, 28-31, 33-35, 37-41, 43-45, 47-50, 52-57, 59 and 60 of Huse's '959 application, which correspond to count 1.

RICHARD E. SCHAFER
Administrative Patent Judge

JAMESON LEE Administrative Patent Judge BOARD OF
PATENT
APPEALS AND
INTERFERENCES

RICHARD TORCZON

Administrative Patent Judge

Counsel for Huse

(real parties in interest, Scripps Research Institute, Stratagene, and the Medical Research Council; licensees, Cambridge Antibody Technology Group and Johnson & Johnson):

Albert P. Halluin
Richard H. Kjeldgaard
J. David Smith
HOWREY & SIMON
Box No. 34
1299 PENNSYLVANIA AVE NW
WASHINGTON DC 20004-2402

Fax: 650-463-8400

Counsel for Winter (real party in interest, Medical Research Council; licensee, Cambridge Antibody Technology Group):

Leonard C. Mitchard
Arthur R. Crawford
B.J. Sadoff
NIXON & VANDERHYE, P.C.
1100 N GLEBE RD FL 8
ARLINGTON VA 22201-4714

Fax: 703-816-4100



# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences Interference Trial Section

1 October 2001 - 10.35

TO: Yolunda R. Townes

Sonja Despertt

FROM: Richard Torczon

INTERFERENCE NO. <u>104,271</u>

**9** Please review the attachment and, if no corrections are necessary, please circulate as indicated.

9 If corrections are necessary, please mark the attachment accordingly and return it to me.

Thank you for your assistance in this matter.

Attachment

E:\FY1999~6\JULY99~D\JD104271.WPD